

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,840	DRESSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE	1637	

All Participants:

(1) SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE.

(2) SARAH KAGAN.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd paragraph; 102(e) over Leamon et al (US 7,323,305)

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Leamon et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending rejections

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Samuel Woolwine/
Examiner, AU 1637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative of the new rejections that would be made based on 112, 2nd paragraph and 102(e). Parties discussed possible language to avoid the 112, 2nd paragraph issue, which suggestions are discussed in the Office action mailed with this interview summary.